arXiv:cond-mat/0104087v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 5 Apr 2001

Spin Fluctuations in the Underdoped High-T_c Cuprate $La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4$

H. Hiraka, Y. Endoh
Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577

M. Fujita
The Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji 611-0011

Y. S. Lee
National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, U.S.A.

J. Kulda, A. Ivanov
Institut Laue-Langevin, 156X, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

R. J. Birgeneau
Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto M5S 1A1, Canada

(February 6, 2008)

We performed magnetic inelastic neutron-scattering experiments on $La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4$ over a wide range of ω and T ; $2 \leq \omega \leq 44$ meV and $1.5 \leq T < 300$ K. The dynamic susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ of this underdoped high-T_c superconductor ($T_c = 17$ K) is characterized by broad, incommensurate peaks. Here, the incommensurate wavevector δ_ω is approximately 0.07 reciprocal lattice units at low T and ω. The superconducting phase does not possess an observable gap in the spin excitation spectrum down to at least 2 meV. Scaling behavior is demonstrated for the Q-integrated energy spectrum $\chi''(\omega)_T$ with respect to (ω/k_BT). This scaling establishes a connnection between the magnetic excitations of the compositions on either side of the insulator-superconductor boundary in the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ phase diagram. We note a possible cross-over from an incommensurate to a commensurate response for $\omega > 20$ meV or $T > 300$ K.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic inelastic neutron-scattering (INS) experiments have been performed to investigate the relationship between high-T_c superconductivity,[1,2] and the strong two-dimensional (2D) spin fluctuations of the CuO_2 plane. It is well known that inelastic magnetic peaks appear at incommensurate positions in momentum space for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ (LSCO) superconductors. Recently, Yamada *et al.* systematically studied the low-energy magnetic excitations by using crucible-free high-quality single crystals.[3] They found a direct proportionality between the hole concentration (x), dynamic incommensurability (δ_ω), and T_c for the underdoped superconductors. There is also a clear gap in the low-energy spin excitations for optimally doped $La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO_4$ and slightly over-doped $La_{1.82}Sr_{0.18}CuO_4$.[4,5] These latter compounds do not possess static magnetic order.

Recent elastic neutron-scattering experiments revealed that incommensurate static magnetic correlations exist in the CuO_2 planes for both the spin-glass insulators[6–8] and the underdoped superconductors[9,10]. A remarkable feature is that the positions of the incommensurate peaks change dramatically as a function of hole concentration at the insulator-to-superconductor boundary of $x_{cr} \simeq 0.055$.[8] That is, the incommensurate wavevector is approximately parallel to the Cu-O-Cu direction in the superconductors, but is at 45° to this direction in the insulators. At the special doping level of $x = 0.12$, the incommensurate peaks are resolution-limited, indicating that the spins are correlated over distances exceeding 200 Å within the CuO_2 plane, and the peaks appear below T_c.[9,11]. For the materials with static order, the inelastic scattering peaks occur at the same positions as those of the elastic peaks within error.

Neutron experiments with high transferred energies have focused primarily on antiferromagnetic LCO[12,13] and optimally doped LSCO[14,15]. To-date, few detailed studies of the high-energy spin fluctuations have been reported for LSCO superconductors near the insulator-superconductor boundary of the phase diagram. The non-superconducting spin-glass compositions have been carefully studied by Keimer *et al.*[16] and Matsuda *et al.*[17] for $La_{1.96}Sr_{0.04}CuO_4$ and $La_{1.98}Sr_{0.02}CuO_4$, respectively. They observed commensurate spin fluctuations for $\omega > 3$ meV and demonstrated scaling behavior of $\chi''(\omega)$ with respect to the variable (ω/k_BT) over a wide range of T and ω.

We have performed a systematic study of the spin fluctuations in $La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4$ to explore the behavior of $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ in a system with hole concentration slightly above x_{cr}. We find that the magnetic-excitation spectrum is gapless. Also, the ω and T dependences indicate scaling behavior similar to that in the lightly-

FIG. 3. Temperature variation of low-energy incommensurate peaks with $\omega = 4$ meV. The solid lines show the results of resolution-convoluted fits by using the same condition for the peak widths, as the fits depicted in Figs. 2(a) - 2(d). The horizontal short bar represents the instrumental q resolution.

For the initial data analysis, we allowed the parameters $\delta_\omega, K_\omega, \chi''(\omega)$ and a sloped background along $\mathbf{q}_{2\mathrm{D}}$ to vary independently. However, in the high-ω or high-T region, the fits do not converge uniquely; a large K_ω or a small δ_ω can be invoked to describe the data. In order to be systematic and obtain physically consistent fits, we assumed a smooth increase in $K_{\omega,T}$ with increasing ω and temperature: $\left(K_{\omega,T}\right)^2 = \left(K_{0,0}\right)^2 + a_0^{-2}\left[(\mathrm{k_B}T/E_T)^2 + (\omega/E_\omega)^2\right]$ with $K_{0,0} = 0.065$ Å^{-1}, $a_0 = 3.79$ Å and $E_T = E_\omega = 85$ meV. This form was used by Aeppli *et al.*[23] to explain the peak widths observed in $\mathrm{La_{1.86}Sr_{0.14}CuO_4}$. The above expression for $\mathrm{La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4}$ describes the overall behavior of $K_{\omega,T}$ fairly well. The fits to the $\mathbf{q}_{2\mathrm{D}}$ spectra are shown in Figs. 2(a)- 2(d) and 3. $K_{0,0}$ corresponds to ${\kappa_{0,0}}^{7\%} = 0.042$ Å^{-1}. The extrapolated value is compatible with the value obtained for ${\kappa_0}^{7\%}$ from the elastic scattering, but it is about twice as large as ${\kappa_{0,0}}^{14\%} = 0.022$ Å^{-1} [23] and ${\kappa_{\omega,T}}^{4\%,2.4\%} \sim 0.02$ Å^{-1} found at low ω and low T.[16,7] As a further comparison, Fig. 2(a) also displays the sharp incommensurate peaks observed in $\mathrm{La_{1.88}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4}$ ($T_\mathrm{c} = 31$ K), which are much narrower than those we find in $\mathrm{La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4}$

FIG. 5. ω dependence of (a) $S(\omega)_T$ and (b)
solid line for $\chi''(\omega)_{1.5\mathrm{K}}$ represents the addition
tive damped Lorentzians; $\omega \sum_{n=\mathrm{L,H}} c_n \Gamma_n/(\omega^2$
the characteristic energies Γ_{L} and Γ_{H} were arbit
to be 2.5 and 60 meV, respectively, with the ra
cients $c_{\mathrm{L}}/c_{\mathrm{H}}$ of 2. The broken lines in (b) show
evoluition calculated by $\chi''(\omega)_T = \chi''(\omega)_{1.5\mathrm{K}}/\big($

The T dependences of $\chi''(\omega)_T$ and $S(\omega)_T$
in Figs. 4 and 5 for various values of ω.
the $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{2D}}$ integration of $S(\mathbf{q},\omega)$, and depend
T. A remarkable feature of $\chi''(\omega)_T$ is that
fluctuations grow significantly with decreasi
is in contrast to the complete gap seen in
tion spectrum of the optimal superconducto
in Fig. 5 also indicate that the spectral w
high-energy range ($>$ 20 meV) is nearly
tends to increase slightly with increasing
$\chi''(\omega)_T$ as a function of the scaled variable
Fig. 6(a). The data with $\omega <$ 20 meV (
roughly show a maximum at $(\omega/\mathrm{k_B}T) \sim$ 10.
ior is similar to that in $\mathrm{YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}}$ (YBC
0.4 located near the antiferromagnetic-supe
phase boundary.[24] Higher-energy excitation
cles) are also shown. Figure 6(b) shows
vided by an ω-dependent normalization fact
at $T = 1.5$ K.[16,17]

FIG. 7. (a) Peak-width parameter $K_{\omega,T}$ whe tion is used in the **q**-profile fits. A smooth incr against ω and T (a curved line) is assumed in th ysis. (b) Resolution-corrected incommensurabili on the above assumption for $K_{\omega,T}$, a significant is deduced. If the peak width is held constant (κ_ω = 0.047 Å^{-1}, K_ω = 0.073 Å^{-1}), then δ_ω so-ded non-zero up to the highest energy measured. (V bars.)

Of particular interest is the energy scale namic incommensurate correlations. The sca files evolve from a well-resolved, double-pe ture at low temperatures and energies to a b peaked structure with increasing ω and T. A we cannot say with certainty that an incon to-commensurate cross-over exists. Howeve analysis of the data points in this direct assume that $K_{\omega,T}$ evolves smoothly with in the top panel of Fig. 7, then the deta vealed that δ_ω goes to zero at around $\omega_{7\%}$ at low T as plotted in the lower panel. scale of about 20 meV is reasonable in lig lar incommensurate-to-commensurate cross- ior seen in other LSCO compounds: $\omega_{2.4\%}$ $\omega_{10\%} \approx 25$ meV[25], and $\omega_{15\%} > 50$ meV.[26] the temperature dependence of $\chi''(\omega)_{1.5\mathrm{K}}$ ch across ω of 20 meV as shown in Fig. 5(b). W ing temperature, δ_ω decreases and appears t at about 300 K ($\sim$ 25 meV in energy units sistency between the crossover energy and is also seen in $La_{1.976}Sr_{0.024}CuO_4$.[7] Altern incommensurability δ_ω may remain non-zero up to the highest measured energy transfers, if we assume a constant $\kappa_{\omega,T} = 0.04$ r.l.u. for the whole energy range. This restriction appears to be less likely, and the profiles shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are fit better if an incommensurate-to-commensurate cross-over does indeed occur.

In superconducting $La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4$, the observations of an enhancement of $\chi''(\omega)$ at low ω, the scaling function with a form of $\tan^{-1}(\omega/k_BT)$, and the incommensurate-to-commensurate crossover at a finite energy, are all reminiscent of the behavior observed in the non-superconducting spin-glass compositions.[16,17,7] Even though the positions of the elastic incommensurate scattering changes dramatically as the doping level crosses the insulator-to-superconductor boundary,[6] it appears that the behavior of the inelastic spin excitations evolves quite smoothly. This is consistent with the apparent observation of spin-glass ordering in the underdoped superconducting region observed by μSR measurements.[27,28] Also, the saturation of $\kappa_\omega^{7\%}$ below 10 meV or 100 K in Fig. 7(a) is similar to the behavior of the inverse correlation lengths of spin-glass compounds.[16]

IV. CONCLUSION

We have conducted magnetic inelastic neutron scattering experiments on $La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4$ over a wide range of ω and T. The low-energy incommensurate fluctuations are enhanced at low T, with no indication of a magnetic gap in this underdoped superconductor. The dependence of $\chi''(\omega)$ on T and ω is observed to depend primarily on the scaled valuable (ω/k_BT). By following the scattering profiles to high energy, we conjecture that an incommensurate-to-commensurate crossover occurs at $\omega \sim 20$ meV or $T \sim 300$ K. These results are qualitatively similar to the behavior observed in the non-superconducting spin-glass compositions, despite the fact that the positions of the incommensurate peaks change drastically across the insulator-superconductor boundary of the LSCO phase diagram. The behavior of this material $La_{1.93}Sr_{0.07}CuO_4$ is a crucial link in the chain of understanding how the static and dynamic spin correlations evolve from the insulator to the optimal superconductor.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank G. Shirane, K. Yamada, M. Matsuda, S. Wakimoto, K. Hirota, H. Kimura and M. A. Kastner for fruitful discussions. We also thank B. Keimer, M. Onodera, K. Nemoto, and L.P. Regnault for their assistance in our experiments. The present work was supported by the US-Japan Cooperative Research Program on Neutron Scattering, a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Monbusho, and the Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology (CREST) Project sponsored by the Japan Science and Technology Corporation. The work at MIT was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under contract numbers DMR-0071256 and DMR98-08941.

---

[1] M. A. Kastner, R. J. Birgeneau, G. Shirane and Y. Endoh, Rev. Mod. Phys., **70**, 897 (1998).

[2] S. M. Hayden, *Neutron Scattering in Layered Copper-Oxide Superconductors* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 1998) ed. by A. Furrer, p.135.

[3] K. Yamada, C. H. Lee, K. Kurahashi, J. Wada, S. Wakimoto, S. Ueki, H. Kimura, Y. Endoh, S. Hosoya, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. Greven, M. A. Kastner and Y. J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B, **57**, 6165 (1998).

[4] K. Yamada, S. Wakimoto, G. Shirane, C. H. Lee, M. A. Kastner, S. Hosoya, M. Greven, Y. Endoh and R. J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. Lett., **75**, 1626 (1995).

[5] C. H. Lee, K. Yamada, Y. Endoh, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, M. Greven and Y. J. Kim, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **69**, 1170 (2000).

[6] S. Wakimoto, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, Y. S. Lee, R. Erwin, P. M. Gehring, S. H. Lee, M. Fujita, K. Yamada, Y. Endoh, K. Hirota and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B, **61**, 3699 (2000).

[7] M. Matsuda, M. Fujita, K. Yamada, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, H. Hiraka, Y. Endoh, S. Wakimoto and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B, **62**, 9148 (2000).

[8] M. Fujita, K. Yamada, H. Hiraka, S. H. Lee, P. M. Gehring, S. Wakimoto and G. Shirane, cond-mat/0101320.

[9] H. Kimura, K. Hirota, H. Matsushita, K. Yamada, Y. Endoh, S. H. Lee, C. F. Majkrzak, R. Erwin, G. Shirane, M. Greven, Y. S. Lee, M. A. Kastner and R. J. Birgeneau, Phys. Rev. B, **59**, 6517 (1999).

[10] H. Matsushita, H. Kimura, M. Fujita, K. Yamada, K. Hirota and Y. Endoh, J. Phys. Chem. Solid., **60**, 1071 (1999).

[11] T. Suzuki, T. Goto, K. Chiba, T. Shinoda, T. Fukase, H. Kimura, K. Yamada, M. Ohashi and Y. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. B, **57**, R3229 (1998).

[12] S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, R. Osborn, A. D. Taylor, T. G. Perring, S-W. Cheong and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. Lett., **67**, 3622 (1991).

[13] S. Itoh, K. Yamada, M. Arai, Y. Endoh, Y. Hidaka and S. Hosoya, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **63**, 4542 (1994).

[14] K. Yamada, Y. Endoh, C. H. Lee, S. Wakimoto, M. Arai, K. Ubukata, M. Fujita, S. Hosoya and S. M. Bennington, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **64**, 2742 (1995).

[15] S. M. Hayden, G. Aeppli, H. A. Mook, T. G. Perring, T. E. Mason, S. W. Cheong and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. Lett., **76**, 1344 (1996).

[16] B. Keimer, N. Belk, R. J. Birgeneau, A. Cassanho, C. Y. Chen, M. Greven, M. A. Kastner, A. Aharony, Y. Endoh, R. W. Erwin and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B, **46**, 14034 (1992).

[17] M. Matsuda, R. J. Birgeneau, Y. Endoh, Y. Hidaka, M. A. Kastner, K. Nakajima, G. Shirane, T. R. Thurston and K.

Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **62**, 1702 (1993).

[18] S. Hosoya, C. H. Lee, S. Wakimoto, K. Yamada and Y. Endoh, Physica C, **235-240**, 547 (1994).

[19] C. H. Lee, N. Kaneko, S. Hosoya, K. Kurahashi, S. Wakimoto, K. Yamada and Y. Endoh, Supercond. Sci. Technol., **11**, 891 (1998).

[20] T. R. Thurston, P. M. Gehring, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, Y. Endoh, M. Matsuda, K. Yamada, H. Kojima and I. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B, **46**, 9128 (1992).

[21] M. Matsuda, K. Yamada, Y. Endoh, T. R. Thurston, G. Shirane, R. J. Birgeneau, M. A. Kastner, I. Tanaka and H. Kojima, Phys. Rev. B, **49**, 6958 (1994).

[22] M. J. Cooper and R. Nathans, Acta Cryst., **23**, 357 (1967).

[23] G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, S. M. Hayden, H. A. Mook and J. Kulda, Science, **278**, 1432 (1997).

[24] M. Sato, S. Shamoto, T. Kiyokura, K. Kakurai, G. Shirane, B. J. Sternlieb and J. M. Tranquada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **62**, 263 (1993).

[25] S. Petit, A. H. Moudden, B. Hennion, A. Vietkin and A. Revcolevschi, Physica B, **234-236**, 800 (1997).

[26] Y. Endoh, T. Fukuda, S. Wakimoto, M. Arai, K. Yamada and S. M. Bennington, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., Suppl. B, **69**, 16 (2000).

[27] A. Weidinger, Ch. Niedermayer, A. Golnik, R. Simon, E. Recknagel, J. I. Budnick, B. Chamberland and C. Baines, Phys. Rev. Lett., **62**, 102 (1989).

[28] Ch. Niedermayer, C. Bernhard, T. Blasius, A. Golnick, A. Moodenbaugh and J. I. Budnick, Phys. Rev. Lett., **80**, 3843 (1998).